# Explosion of Chemical Plant in Seveso, Italy [July 10, 1976 Seveso, Italy]

Mitsuo Kobayashi (Graduate School of New Frontier Sciences, University of Tokyo). Masamitsu Tamura (Graduate School of New Frontier Sciences, University of Tokyo)

At about 12:37 on S aturday July 10th, 1976, a runaway reaction of construction of the suburbance of the suburbance of the suburbance of Milan in the northern part of Italy.



Fig.1 damaged area

The pressure rise caused by the ru naway reaction destroyed the rupture disk, and the contents were discharged into the atmosphere, forming a mushroom-like cloud. The mushroom cloud diffused to the south by the north wind that was blowing at the time, and it contaminated a vast area of about 1800 hectares. It is thought that 30 to 40kg of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo para dioxin (TCDD), a deadly poison, was contained in this mushroom cloud. The toxicity of TC DD is about 100000 times that of sodium cyanide. For several days, no countermeasures were taken towards the leaked material, which fell down to the ground as a white crystal and was left as it was. As a result, in addition to the contamination of the 1800 hectares of land, victims of diseases such as cancer, chronic dermatitis, neuropathy, and deformed babies were estimated to be over 220,000, and over 80,000 do mestic animals were killed. The abortion rate of pregnant women in the Seveso district from April to June in the following year reached 34%. The contaminated soil was buried underground in two large newly dug holes. The volume of one of them was 150,00 0m<sup>3</sup> and that of the ot her was a half. The h oles we re then enclosed by p olyethylene she et and cove red with non-contaminated soil. Finally, the hole was covered with a 1 m thick layer of concrete, thus it was sealed completely.

The accident was caus ed by just a small violation of operation instruction. The existence of a runaway reaction at a lower temperature than the expected temperature was a major cause of the accident. It was not known at that time that a runaway reaction could occur at such a low temperature. There had been over 14 explosion accidents related to TCDD caused by TCP (2,4,5-trichlorophenol) manufacturing plants of the same product, and each accident caused enormous damage to h uman health. It was already known at the time that usually slight amounts of TCDD were formed in the reaction.

The damage of the accident was extensive, and there appear to be three points that led to the t errible disaster. The first point is that the operators did not observe the standard operation method. The second point is that the reactor was constructed without any knowledge of the possibility of a runaway reaction, so no countermeasures for preventing an abnormal temperature rise were taken. Furthermore, in the design of the safety equipment, no consideration was given to the protection of the environment. The third point is that the recommendation and later the order for evacuation of the local inhabitants were delayed. The identification of the leakage material was carried out by Givaudan Co., the parent company. It took the company five days to recognize the existence of d ioxin, and furt hermore, as the c ompany sp ent more time on reconfirmation, they did not inf orm the local gov ernment of the existence of d ioxin until 10 days after the accident. As a result, the damage greatly increased.

As a result of this accid ent, the EC established the EC Governing Boa rd Directive (called the Seveso Directive) in 1982, which is intended to prevent major accidents in industrial activities from occurring as well as to minimize the d amages to hu man health and to the environment.

Rupture disk: a board shaped pressure relief device that is mounted on the v essel

directly or through the piping.

#### 1. Event

The leakage accident occurred just before noon on July 10th, 1976. The rupture disk of the r eactor is d esigned to rup ture when the pressure increases due to uncontrolled reaction and so on, s o that the leak age was a planned phenomenon. However, a large amount of dioxin TCDD, a deadly poison, wa s contained in the leaked material, which caused large-scale health damage and environmental pollution, because the material was spread widely by the wind. The plant managers were not aware of the existence of TCDD in the material, so the countermeasures were delayed and the health damages were increased.



Fig2. reaction formula of TCP manufacture

Fig.3 Reaction formula of TCDD generation

The accident occurred at a pl ant of a sm all chemical factory, Icmesa Co., in the Seveso district, the suburbs of Milan, Italy. This company is a subsidiary of the Sw iss company Givaudan Co., and Givaudan Co. is a subsidiary of the well-known chemi cal manufacturing enterprise Hoffmann-La Roch e & Co. A.G. The plant of Icm esa Co. produced 2,4,5-trichloro phenol (TC P). TC P is then p rocessed for p roducing p roducts such as medicated soap, cosmetics, and shampoos. The main reaction formula of the process of production method that was developed by Givaud an is shown in Fig. 2. At the time, i t was thought that t he reaction did no t ge nerate any pro blems at temperatures of 200 or less; however, the poisonou s dioxin TCDD was formed at a temperature of 230 by the reaction shown in Fig.3. A maximum of 10 ppm of TCDD is generated in the react tion process, but it is not clear wh ether the fac tory members were aware of this fact.

Removal of a solvent after the reaction was performed successively in a reactor with a thermal jacket and an agitator . A ccording to the eoperation instructions, tetrachlorobenzene is generally heated to between 135 and 160 . A hydrolysis reaction of the tetrachlorobenzene with a sodium hydroxide solution is carried out. Then xylene is added, and the generated water is separated by azeotropic distillation at 160 . The remaining xylene is separated by distillation, and finally, the solvent ethylene glycol is

recovered t o 50% by reduced-pressure distillation. In addition, the operation i s terminated by cooling the product down to between 50 and 60 by adding water. The processing operation after these steps is not clear. In a certain report, it is described that the remains were cooled with jacket water feeding and agitation if the remains were left in the bottom of the reactor.

In the operation of the batch that was involved in the accident, the final ethylene glycol separation of the distillat ion process did not reach the design ated recovery rate of 50%; instead the operator stopped the process after the recovery of only about 15%. Furthermore, the ad dition of water f or co oling the product was not carried out. Therefore, the final temperature of the product was 158 , which is much higher than the normal range of 50 to 60 . However, this temperature is still considerably lower than the dangerous temperature of 230 . After the operator finished the s eries of reactions, he stopped the agitation and cooling process, turned off the power supply of the temperature recorder, and left the site. After that, the plant was no long er in the condition of being monitored.

The rup ture disk of the reactor operated at 12:37, and the contents of the reactor became a foggy mushroom cloud, which was spread out and diffused to the south by the wind, resulting in the pollution of an area of about 1800 hectares.

#### 2. Course

## 2.1. Operation course leading to the leakage

At 16:00 on Friday July 9th, the batch reaction which caused the accident started. At 04:45 in the early morning of the next day , the reaction and distillation processes for the batch finished, and heating of the batch was terminated by stopping the flow of steam. Next, the agitation of the reactor was stop ped, the pressure was returned to atmospheric pressure, and then the operator went home. After that, there was nobody at the plant.

The rupture disk of the reactor suddenly operated at 12:37, and the reactor contents began to leak.

#### **2.2.** Course after the leakage

On July 10th, the technical manager of the effectory warned the local residents that "TCP has leaked from the plant. The leaked material has a strong odor, but it does not cause any actual harm. However, it would be better not to eat any produce from your garden until the results of an accurate analysis are obtained".

On Monday July 12th, the first signs of health hazard appeared, and by July 14th,

the local hospitals were flooded with patients. However, because the cause of the health problems was unknown, the doctors were unable to treat the patients.

On Thursday July 15th, the laboratory in the parent company, Givaudan Co., found a high concentration of TCDD in the leaked material, but they did n ot a nnounce their findings to anyone outs ide the company, including the local government until the laboratory reconfirmed the existence of TCDD on Tuesday July 20th.

On Saturday July 24th, a local government officer ordered a compulsory evacuation from the A area where the pollution was most severe (Fig.1). On Tuesday July 29th, the local government expanded the evacuation area (the A area).

## 3. Ca use

Violation of instruction became a trigger of the accident, the rupture disk operated, and the content fluid leaked from the reactor. It was not known what was in the material that had leaked, so after the leakage, nothing was done to improve the situation. Furthermore, even after the laboratory of Givaudan Co. found the TCDD in the leaked material, they did not notify the local government of the existence of TCDD until they reconfirmed it. Therefore, the initial countermeasures were greatly delayed, resulting in a large expansion of the damage.

## 3.1. Cause of operation of the rupture disk and leakage of contents

a) The trigger of the accident was the occurrence of a r unaway reaction. It was thought that the runaw ay reaction would not occur at a temperature l ess than 230and so the conditions of the reactor were believed to be safe, b ecause the operator st opped the operation a t 158 . However, it was found in research conducted later that an exothermic reaction actually occurred at temp eratures as low as 180 . What could have caused the reactor to rise to 180 ? One possibility is the following. The operator stopped the agitation when he stopped the operation at 158 . The reactor heating jacket was heated by superheated steam of 1.2MPaG, 190 . The supply valve of the steam was closed when the operation was stop ped. However, the superheated steam remaining in the jacket would have continued to heat the liquid near the jacket surf ace and could have caused the temperature to rise to over 180 locally. The st opping of the agitation also made to the local temperature rise. An exothermic reaction began in the liquid that was heated to over 180 and expanded throughout the reac tor. How ever, the v olume of the jacket was very small, so it was questionable if there was enough steam remaining in the jacket to heat the liquid material to 180 . According to the "Loss

Prevention in the Process Industries (2nd E dition) Appendix 3 Seves o", turbine exhaust was used for the jacket stream. When the turbin e load drops, the st eam temperature can reach 300 . Therefore, the temperature of the turbine exhaust fluctuates with the turbine load, and it is necessary to take measures to maintain the temperature at a constant value when turbine exhaust is us ed in a process application. Jud ged from the d escription of L oss P revention, the d esign of the steam was mistaken. In addition, because the agitation was stopped, the liquid material close to the wall surface was easily heated. No one noticed the heating, because the ther mometer was tur ned off. The operator operated the p rocess without sufficient care, resulting in a deviation from the estand ard operation method. It is thought that the combination of this deviation from the stand ard operation method with the process d esign error of the steam sup ply led to the accident.

- b) The safety device was inadequate. The rupture disk was mounted as a pressure rise countermeasure of the reactor. Also, the pressure of the rupture disk had been set by factors f or other operations, and the p ressure setting was too high for the runaway reaction that caused this acci dent. Therefore, the p rocess t emperature rose, and the for mation of d ioxin increased. To make matters worse, the leaked material was d ischarged into the atmosp here from the rapture disk. On general principles, the outlet of a p ressure relief device, such as a rupture disk or other safety valves, sh ould be direct ed to a safe place. If po ssible, it is desirable that discharge is d ischarged only after the combustion treat ment or detoxification treatment.
- c) The related companies did not refer to the other accidents that had occurred before this accident. There were at least fourteen similar accidents at TCP manufacturing plants. The damage to the company's employee from the deadly poison TCDD was extensive, and it is thought that the contrav ention of instructions might not happen either, if study and education had been conducted more seriously. Another cause is considered to be overlooked the possi bility that an exothermic reaction could occur at a temperature of less than 230 . Even though the possi bility was only confirmed in research conducted later, it is still a problem.



Fig. 4 Outline around the reactor

#### 3.2. Cause of expansion of damage

Icmesa Co., which caused the accident, did not have the f acilities required for the identification of the leakage material, so it was identified in the laboratory of Givaudan with the help of Hoffmann-La Roche & Co. A.G. Co., which was the parent company of the parent company of Icmesa. The existence of a large amount of dioxin in the leakage material was confirm ed by the first sample from the Icmesa plant, bu t they did n ot notify the local government of the findings, because they wanted to confirm the polluted range and quantity of TCDD. The quantity of di oxin in the samples which were sent successively did not decr ease 10 days after the accident. Furthermore, the presence of TC DD was proven by other experiments. At last they notified the local government, resulting in the evacuation. Although the reason for the information delay was concern for an unn ecessary panic, the delay caused a great exp ansion of d amage. From the beginning, the company causing the accident was not aware of the existence of the TC DD; it recognized only the leakage of TCP. As the accident happened at the weekend, the company could not e stablish an effective system for dea ling with t he accident.

# 4. Process of cause elucidation

It had already been proven at the time that a runaway reaction occurs over 230, and that one m olecule of TCDD is formed from two m olecules of TCP sodium by the removal of t wo molecules of sod ium chloride when the reaction temperature exceeds 200. It had also been proven that there is TCP sodium salt that is an intermediate of the TCP manufacturing process in the liquid when the distillation stops. Therefore, the key to the elucidation of the cause was to show why the temperature increased over 230.

After the accident, two Italian chemists prepared sodium of TCP. They analyzed the chemical system by thermogravimetric an alysis (TG) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), and they found that a weak exothermic reaction might be caused at temperatures of 180 to 200 . Furt hermore, they found that an exoth ermic reaction could occur even at 180 by isothermal thermal analysis. In addition, they measured the time require d to ge nerate an explosion by ARC (Acc elerating Rate Calorimeter) analysis. The results were as follow s: a little longer than eight hours at 180 , a little shorter than eight hours at 190 and about five hours at 200 . In short , it was proven that a runaway reaction can be cause at the temperature of 180 , though it had been thought b efore the accident that a runaway reaction cannot be caused at the temperatures lower than 230 .

Note; TG, D SC and isothermal th ermal analysis are methods for meas uring what kind of exo thermic be havior oc curs in the chemicals. A RC is a method for measuring whether or not the chemical causes a runaway reaction by measuring the rate of temp erature change. They are generally called "thermal a nalysis", which incl ude the methods a nd d evices for analyzing the t emperature dependency of dangerous reactions of chemicals.

The reason that the reactor reached 180 is described in the "Causes" section.

## 5. Immediate Action

Since the accident itself was only t hat "the ru pture disk operated and leaked", and since there was not an operator at the site, any immediate action was not taken. Some maintenance staff who heard the sound of the rup ture disk operation ran to the site and injected the cooling water into the jacket, but they could not stop the rup ture disk operation. The only action was that the t echnical manager of the factory warned the local residents that "TCP has leaked from the plant. It would be better not t o eat any produce from your garden".

#### 6. Countermeasure

There are many reports and articles that refer to the Seveso Directive and the Basel Convention entitled "C onvention on the C ontrol of T ransboundary Move ment of Hazardous Waste and Th eir Disp osal". B ut he re, inst ead of the famous t wo declarations, countermeasures to prevent a recurrence of the accident will be discussed below.

- a) The u nderstanding of the process should be deepened. In p articular, through improved in vestigation and research on a reaction, knowledge of the abnormal reaction mechanisms will be satisfactory. Besides, it is necessary that not only "in-house" i nformation but also inform ation f rom other companies and from experts of other fields related to similar reactions will be used.
- b) The material that is formed as a by -product or as a prod uct of an abnormal reaction may be a high ly toxic ch emical compound including elements such as nitrogen, sulfur, or p hosphorus, even if the main p roduct of the p rocess is n ot toxic. It is necessary to be careful about all of the materials that may be formed in the process including the products of abnormal reactions.
- c) The direction of the e mitted gas from p ressure release devices such a s a safety valve and a cap acity of p rocessing devices should be carefully considered. Safet y devices such as safety valves or rupture disks are the devices for discharging the contents of a vessel so t hat the vess el and piping will not be destroyed when the pressure ris es over a particular design value. However, if the discharged gas causes harmful effects on humans and the su rrounding environment, then the safety device has no mean ing. Sufficient processing methods and a processing capacity for ensuring th at the discharged material does not cause bad effects on humans and the environment are necessary. At least, a flare stack, absorber, and other basic devices should be equipped with a suitable capacity.
- d) Operations that deviate from the standard operation method should not be done. Accidents often occur when some changes are made to the operation method. The operation method instructions are often made under the principle of "safety first", even if all of the possibilities which migh t occur could not be considered. It is dangerous to change the operation procedure without careful consideration, and sufficiently prudent investigations and study will be necessary if a change is made.
- e) The related companies should establish a cooperative system with the local government and neigh borhood inhabitant s for the notification of potential

danger and the recommended countermeasures.

## 7. Knowledge

- a) Ideally, a chemical p lant should be designed and the operation manual should be prepared after all of the reactions that occur in the reactor and vessels are clarified. However, in reality the knowledge may not be perfect, and so me unknown parts may remain. Investigation and study for collecting information should be made during designing and operating, the results should be reflected in the operation and equipment. Besides, instructed technical details must not be changed thoughtlessly.
- b) The enterprise that sold the technology may be responsible to some extent for the safety of the plant which is constructed and operated depending on the technology. In particular, if the plant owner is a subsidiary or a ffiliated company t hat has less capital and a low er t echnical level, it is the responsibility of the parent ent erprise to carry out sufficient information services and guidance required to avoid an accident.
- c) The local administration must recognize the potential area of contamination on the assumption that a catastrophe happens, make a plan for the evacuation of the local inhabitants, and prepare fac ilities for medical treatment beforehand, when there are facilities that handle toxic substances in the region. The enterprise must act positively to fournish information to public and cooperate with the local administration.
- d) There is a large possibility that a mistake in the temperature control of the steam can occur. Although the importance of the process design is ap t to be neglected, neglect of the process design can result in a large catastrophe.

#### 8. Influence of Failure

Although there were no immediate deaths, many people were affected by the leaked material for a long time after the leakage accident. The victims of di seases such as cancer, chronic dermatitis, neuropathy, and the birth of deformed babies have been estimated as over 220,000 people. A n abortion rate of pregnant women between April and June of the next year reached 34%. The results of investigation that was continued over ten years after the accident showed the higher mortality and morbidity rate than that in another area.

The soil-polluted area was about 1800 hectare. Contaminated soil was removed, then soil dressing was done with new topsoil. The contamina ted soil was buried in a

150000m3 large newly dug hole and a half-si zed hole, the holes were enclosed by polyethylene sheet, and the holes were covered with non-contaminated soil and a 1 m layer of concrete. Over 80,000 domestic animals were killed.

Considering the enormity of the damage, in June 1982 the EC issued an EC governing board order, what is call ed "Seveso Directive". The directive was revise d in 1987 and 1 988. The EC put this order out in ord er to prevent major accidents by manufacturing activities as well as to reduce the bad effects on the environment. Later, the United Nations p roposed the Basel C onvention, which forbid s the transfer of hazardous wastes between countries and the d isposal of wastes in South Pol e. The Basel Convention was adopted by all 116 nations that participated in the conference in 1989.

# References

\*Ikuo Fukuyama, "Seveso accident afterwards", Yobo Jiho, 176,19-25(1994)

- \*Ikuo Fukuyama, "Leakage accident of dioxin in Seveso, Italy", "Important industrial accident of the world", p40
  -43,84-85(1993), Ja pan Loss Insurance Association(1993)
- \*Ikuo Fukuyama, "Seveso Accident", SE series Learn from accidents, p10-13, Safety Engineering(1987)
- \*Yoichi U ehara. Terushige Ogawa, "Technical Hand book for Fi re and E xplosion Protection", p 8-11, Techunosisutemu(1998)
- \*Yoichi Uehara, "Cause of the Seveso accident", J.Japan Soc.for Safety Engineering, 26, 340-345(1987)
- \*Environmental impact sanitation. Chemical industry of the world. p 55-58 (1976)
- \*Frank P. Lees, "Loss Prevention in the Process industries 2nd Ed", Appendix 3. Seveso. Butterworth-Heinemann(1996)