Collapse of Tacoma Narrows Bridge November 7, 1940 in Tacoma, Washington, USA

Masayuki Nakao (Institute of Engineering Innovation, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo)

The Tacoma Narrows Bridge in the state of Washington was completed and opened t o traffic on July 1, 1940. The bridge was the first of its type to employ plate girders to support the roadbed. Shortly after its construction, the bridge was disc overed to sw ay and bu ckle da ngerously in windy conditions. O n November 7, just four months after the opening, it collapsed due to wind-induced oscillations (19 m/sec of wind velocity). No human life was lost in the collapse of the bridge.

1. Event

The Tacoma Narrows Bridge at Puget Sound in the state of Washington was completed and opened to traffic on July 1, 19 40. On N ovember 7, j ust four months after the opening, it collapsed due to wind-induced oscillations. No human life was lost in the accident.

2. Course

The Tacoma Narrows Bridge was completed and opened to traffic on July 1, 1940. It stretched like a steel ribbon across the Tacoma Narrows in Pu get Sound near the city of Tacoma, Washington (Figure 1). It was the third longest suspension bridge of its time with a center span of 853 meters, and had sleek appearance with i ts l ength in comparison to i ts width and thickness of 11.9-meter wide road bed providing two traffic lanes and sidewalks (Figure 2). It was a narrow bridge for its long length.



Figure 1. Location of Tacoma Narrows Bridge [1]



Figure 2. Structure of Tacoma Narrows Bridge [1]

Shortly after its construction, the bridge was discovered to sway and buckle dangerously along its length in win dy conditions. En gineers were conducting wind tunnel experiments on airflow characteristics around the bridge structure.

When the bridge began heaving violently on November 7, the authorities notified Professor F.B. Farquharson of the University of Washington who had been conducting wind tunnel experiments with a model of the bridge. The professor and his research team recorded the bridge with a camera.



Figure 3. Movements of Tacoma Narrows Bridge [1]

While the wind was not extraordinary, the bridge was undulating noticeably, and the center stay was vibrating torsionally in 9 segments with a frequency 3 6 cy cles/min. The am plitude of t he torsional vibration quickly built up in an hour when the north center stay broke and the motion changed from a rhythmic rising a nd falling to a two-wave twisting motion. The bridge twisted violently in two parts with frequency 14 vib/min, in which the midpoint of the bridge remained motionless while the two halves of the bridge twisted in opposite directions (Figure 3). This catastrophic twisting motion was probably start ed by the failure of cable band on the north end, which was connected to the center diagonal ties. The twisting motion caused high stresses throughout the bridge, which lead to the failure of the suspenders and collapse of the main span (located near the vehicle in Photo 1).

The weight of the spans sagging into the river pulled the towers towards them, bridge began cracking, and the entire bridge crashed down (Photo 2).



University of Washington Libraries, Special Collections, FAR016 Photo 1. Twisting Motion of Tacoma Narrows Bridge [1]



University of Washington Libraries, Special Collections, FAR017 Photo 2. Breakdown of Tacoma Narrows Bridge [1]

3. Cause

Self-excited o scillation induced by la teral w ind w as respons ible f or the collapse. The cause w as "unknown" rather than "ignorance." The self-destruction of the bridge, in fact, took place when wind tunnel experiments were underway. The Tacoma Narrows Bridge was one of the suspension bridges designed a pplying the "deflection theory", which h ad been form ulated in A ustria for concre te arch bridges. Leon Mo isseiff, a brid ge e ngineer and m athematician, applied the theory for susp ension bridges, calculated the stresses and concluded that she ar and bending loads are partly carried in the cables, rather than relying on stiffening trusses. The bridge relied on the dead load (the weight of the deck, main cables and suspender cables) for its rigidity with little inherent structural damping. This theory allowed reducing the amount of stiffening material and the construction costs. It seemed an ideal design a pproach for long-span suspension bridges. However, the designer extended the s lender span concept too far, and the n ovel d esign caused t he bridge to b e excessively flexible. The girder on Tacoma-Narrow bridge then had flat H shapes as Photo 3 shows. The new bridge was redesigned and rebuilt with open trusses, stiffening struts and openings in the roadbed to let wind through, allowing less twisting than the previous design (Photo 4).



Photo 3. The former Tacoma Bridge (its bridge girder has a flat H form) [1]

The investigation of the cause of the failure and wind tunnel testing of 3-d scale model concluded that:

- (1) The exceptional flexibility and small resistance against twisting of the bridge allowed it to pickup the oscillation quickly.
- (2) The shape was aerodynamically unstable. The H-shaped girders allowed the air flow to easily separate at the edges, and the vortex generation happened to match the oscillation of the girders. The wind-generated vortices moved the girders that then generated new vortices. The designers were unaware of this mechanism of wind excited vibration.

4. Immediate Action

The Federal Works Agency appointed three engineers to investigate the failure: Theodore von Kármán (a hydrodynamic expert well-known for hits Von Kármán vortex), Othmar B. Ammann (the consulting engineer for the George Washington Bridge), and Glenn B. Woodruff (the consulting engineer for the Golden Gate Bridge). They issued their report in a little over four months after the collapse occurred. This report exonerated the bridge designers and engineers saying that "the Tacoma Narrows Bridge was well designed and built to resist safely all static forces, including wind, usually considered in the design of sim ilar structures. ... It was not rea lized that the aer odynamic forces which had pr oven disastrous in the past to much lighter and shorter flexible suspension bridges would affect a structure of such magnitude as the Tacoma Narrows Bridge". The report then r ecommend further resear ch and testing to develop the methods used to calculate aerodynamic forces acting on suspension bridges.

5. Countermeasure

The new bridge was redesigned and rebuilt in 1960 with open trusses, stiffening struts and openings in the roadbed to let wind through, allowing less twisting than the previous design (Photo 4).



Photo 4. New Tacoma Narrows Bridge (with Open Trusses) [1]

6. Summary

The T acoma Narrows Bridge c ollapsed due to w ind-induced osc illations. Profes sor Farquh arson's recordings of the c ollapse and w ind tunnel t esting conducted s ubsequently helped c larifying t he mechanism of vibration and the importance of rigid girders. The method of dynamic analysis from the lessons gave guidelines for designing suspension bridges thereafter.

7. Knowledge

(1) Self-excited oscillation must be taken in consideration when engineering a structure. Otherwise, a bridge can fall. Self-excited oscillation is typically observed as "chatter" that occurs between the machine tool and the workpiece. This chatter can break tools and make machine tools dance during

the process.

(2) The lessons learned from failures will help advancing knowledge and technologies. Good records can turn even the w orst disaster into valuable assets to technologies. The history of fail ures is a priceless record of human experiences.

8. Background

Leon Moisseiff who designed the Tacoma Narrows Bridge was one of the world-renowned suspension bridge en gineers at t hat time. He im plemented t he deflection t heory in h is design t o justify the substantial reduction in strengthening materials, believing that the dead weight of the bridge would suppress the vibrations caused by wind and traffic. He believed that the suspended structure would act as a counterweight and restore the bridge to equilibrium, if a bridge were designed flexible enough to bend and sway with the winds. The longer, lighter and narrower bridge design enabled to reduce the amount of st eel ne eded to build suspension bridges. Less steel greatly reduced the cost of a bridge, which was appreciated during the Great Depression.

References

 Yotaro Hatamura (Editor), Jissai-no Sekkei (Practical Design) Research Foundation (1996) Zoku-Zoku Jissai-no Sekkei (Practical Design III), The Nikkan Kogyo Shimbun, LTD.